arXiv:hep-th/9902122v3 21 Apr 1999

OU-HET 313
hep-th/9902122

Spacetime Superalgebra in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ via Supermembrane Probe

Kazuyuki Furuuchi,* Nobuyoshi Ohta† and Jian-Ge Zhou‡

Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Abstract

The spacetime superalgebra via the supermembrane probe in the background of $AdS_4 \times S^7$ is discussed to the lowest order in the spinor coordinate θ. To obtain the correct spacetime superalgebras, all θ^2 order corrections for supervielbein and super 3-form gauge potential have to be included. The central extension of the superalgebra $OSp(8|4)$ of the super isometries for $AdS_4 \times S^7$ is found.

*e-mail address: furu@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp
†e-mail address: ohta@phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp
‡e-mail address: jgzhou@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp, JSPS postdoctoral fellow

The subject of branes, singletons and superconformal field theories (SCFT) on the anti-de Sitter (AdS) boundary was an active area of research about a decade ago. For a review, see [1]. Recently there has been a renewed interest in the AdS space by the conjectured AdS/CFT duality [2]. It is known that the worldvolume dynamics of super p-branes propagating in AdS backgrounds gives rise to $(p+1)$-dimensional SCFT [1]. Thus from the connection between the near-horizon geometry of the brane solutions in string theories and certain SCFT, it is of much interest to study the supermembrane in the background of $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$. Among others, progress has been made recently [3]-[14] in understanding Green-Schwarz superstring, the D3-brane in the IIB supergravity background of $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, and supermembrane in $11D$ supergravity backgrounds of $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ and $\mathrm{AdS}_7 \times S^4$. In $11D$ supergravity, the $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ and $\mathrm{AdS}_7 \times S^4$ backgrounds leave 32 supersymmetries intact. These backgrounds are related to the near-horizon geometries corresponding to M2- and M5-brane configurations and thus are related to possible SCFT in 3 and 6 dimensions with 16 supersymmetries.

On the other hand, the analysis of supersymmetry algebras is one of the most powerful approaches to M-theory. There are two kinds of supersymmetries: one is the spacetime superalgebra and the other is the worldvolume one. In refs. [15, 16], the superalgebras were derived from "test" M-brane actions in flat background, and recently it was extended to the backgrounds of M2- and M5-branes [17]. Due to the simplicity of the Killing spinors in the backgrounds of M2- and M5-branes, the author of ref. [17] was allowed to set half of the Killing spinor ϵ^- and the fermionic coordinate θ^- to zero from the beginning. This effectively makes the transverse coordinates inert under the spacetime diffeomorphism transformation and considerably simplifies the necessary calculations.

In the present paper, we discuss how to construct the spacetime superalgebras via supermembrane probe in the background of $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$. In this geometry, there remain 32 intact supersymmetries in $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ and the corresponding Killing spinors take the special form of a triangular matrix with the vanishing lower left corner [7, 18]. So we cannot put ϵ^- and θ^- to zero, and should use the explicit Killing spinors in $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ to construct the supercharges which possess the fermionic super isometry of the background [13]. To get correct spacetime superalgebras via supermembrane in $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ in the Wess-Zumino-type gauge [19], we find that all θ^2 order corrections for supervielbein and super 3-form gauge potential should be included, which is different from the case in the background of M2-branes [17] where some θ^2 order terms were ignored. The reason is that the transverse coordinates inert under the superspace diffeomorphism transformation are now activated in $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ due to the Killing spinors characterizing the fermionic super isometry of the background. Though this makes the whole calculations quite complicated, we derive the explicit expressions for the supercharges. Since there is ordering ambiguity in the definition of the supercharges at the quantum level, we analyze the spacetime superalgebras by computing the Poisson brackets of supercharges $\hat{Q}_\alpha$. We find

that the superalgebra $OSp(8|4)$ of super isometries of $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ is centrally extended. In the static membrane configurations (to be defined later), the obtained Poisson brackets $\{\hat{Q}_\alpha, \hat{Q}_\beta\}_{P.B.}$ can be simplified, from which we can see that half of the supersymmetry is broken. In other words, the superconformal symmetry generated by its generator S_α is nonlinearly realized on the worldvolume fields. This means that the superconformal symmetry is spontaneously broken, but it can be recovered when the supermembrane sits on the boundary.

Now let us consider the near-horizon geometry of the M2-brane solution, which is given by $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{R^2}{4}(dr^2 + e^{2r}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu) + R^2 d\Omega_7^2, \\ C^{(3)} &= \left(\frac{Re^r}{2}\right)^3 dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $R \equiv l_P(2^5\pi^2 N)^{1/6}$, N is the number of M2-branes and we have rescaled the coordinates of AdS_4 to make them dimensionless. The Killing spinors satisfy the equations

$$\delta\psi_m = D_m\epsilon + T_m{}^{n_1n_2n_3n_4}F_{n_1n_2n_3n_4}\epsilon = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $D_m = \partial_m + \frac{1}{4}\omega_m^{\hat{s}\hat{t}}\Gamma_{\hat{s}\hat{t}}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the local Lorentz transformation and $T_m{}^{n_1n_2n_3n_4} = -\frac{1}{288}\left(\Gamma_m{}^{n_1n_2n_3n_4} + 8\Gamma^{[n_1n_2n_3}\delta_m^{n_4]}\right)$. In the $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ background, the solutions to eq. (2) can be written as [18, 10]

$$\epsilon = e^{-\frac{r}{2}\Gamma}e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat{9}}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{9}}}\left(\prod_{\hat{a}=\hat{3}}^{\hat{8}} e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat{a}}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat{a},\hat{a}+\hat{1}}}\right)\left[1 - \frac{1}{2}x^\mu\delta_\mu^{\hat{\mu}}\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\left(1-\Gamma\right)\right]\epsilon_0, \tag{3}$$

where the factors with larger $\hat{a}$ values in $\left(\prod_{\hat{a}=\hat{3}}^{\hat{8}} e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat{a}}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat{a},\hat{a}+\hat{1}}}\right)$ sit to the left of those with smaller $\hat{a}$ values, $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{\hat{0}\hat{1}\hat{2}}$, ϵ_0 is an arbitrary 32-component constant Majorana spinor in $D = 11$, and $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. The coordinates $\varphi^{\hat{a}}(\hat{a} = \hat{3}, \cdots, \hat{9})$ on the 7-sphere are defined iteratively by $d\Omega_{\hat{a}}^2 = d\varphi_{\hat{a}}^2 + \sin^2\varphi_{\hat{a}}d\Omega_{\hat{a}-\hat{1}}^2$ with $d\Omega_{\hat{3}}^2 = d\varphi_{\hat{3}}^2$.[1]

The supermembrane action in $D = 11$ supergravity background is [20]

$$S = \int d^3\xi\left[-\sqrt{-g\left(Z(\xi)\right)} + \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon^{ijk}C_{ijk}^{(3)}\right], \tag{4}$$

where the superspace embedding coordinates are defined by $Z^M(\xi) = (x^m(\xi), \theta^\alpha(\xi))$ which are functions of the worldvolume coordinates $\xi^i(i = 0, 1, 2)$ and $\epsilon^{012} = -\epsilon_{012} = 1$. The induced metric is defined by $g_{ij} = \partial_i x^m(\xi)\partial_j x^n(\xi)\eta_{mn}$.

[1] We use the conventions in ref. [17]: M, N denote superspace indices, m, n curved ones, α, β spinor ones, μ, ν coordinates parallel to the branes, a, b coordinates transverse to the branes, and tangent space indices are represented with hats on them. Gamma matrices satisfy $\{\Gamma_{\hat{m}}, \Gamma_{\hat{n}}\} = \eta_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}$ with $\eta_{\hat{m}\hat{n}} = \mathrm{diag.}(-, +, \cdots, +)$, and the Dirac conjugate is defined by $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\Gamma_0$. The worldvolume chirality is denoted as $\psi^\pm \equiv \frac{1\pm\Gamma}{2}\psi$.

By gauge completion procedure [19] (or by the coset space construction of $AdS_4 \times S^7$ [9]), the supervielbein $E_M^{\hat{N}}$ in the Wess-Zumino-type gauge can be expressed up to terms of order θ^2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
E_m^{\hat{n}} &= e_m^{\hat{n}} - i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\hat{n}}\left[\frac{1}{4}\omega_m^{\hat{s}\hat{t}}\Gamma_{\hat{s}\hat{t}} + T_m{}^{n_1n_2n_3n_4}F_{n_1n_2n_3n_4}\right]\theta + O(\theta^3),\\
E_m^{\hat{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{4}\omega_m^{\hat{s}\hat{t}}(\Gamma_{\hat{s}\hat{t}}\theta)^{\hat{\alpha}} + (T_m{}^{n_1n_2n_3n_4}\theta)^{\hat{\alpha}}F_{n_1n_2n_3n_4} + O(\theta^2),\\
E_\alpha^{\hat{m}} &= i(\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\hat{m}})_\alpha + O(\theta^3),\\
E_\alpha^{\hat{\beta}} &= \delta_\alpha^{\hat{\beta}} + M_\alpha^{\hat{\beta}} + O(\theta^3),
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $M_\alpha^{\hat{\beta}}$ represent the $F\theta^2$ terms, which do not affect our following discussions. In eq. (5), the fermionic terms are suppressed for our background (1). In ref. [17], the terms at order θ^2 in $E_M^{\hat{N}}$ were ignored because the transverse coordinates are inert under superspace diffeomorphism transformation. In the superspace, the super-coordinate transformation can be defined as [21]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta Z^M = \Xi^M,\\
&\Xi^\mu = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^\mu\theta,\ \ \Xi^a = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^a\theta,\ \ \Xi^r = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^r\theta,\ \ \Xi^\alpha = \epsilon^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The Wess-Zumino Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ is given by [19]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{WZ} &= \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon^{ijk}C_{ijk}^{(3)}\\
&= -\frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i x^{m_1}\partial_j x^{m_2}\partial_j x^{m_3}\\
&\quad\times\left[C_{m_1m_2m_3}^{(3)} + \frac{3i}{4}\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2}\Gamma_{m_1m_2}\theta\omega_{m_3}^{\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2} + 3i\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{m_1m_2}T_m{}^{n_1n_2n_3n_4}\theta F_{n_1n_2n_3n_4}\right]\\
&\quad -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i x^{m_1}\partial_j x^{m_2}\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{m_1m_2}\partial_k\theta + O(\theta^3).
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Under the infinitesimal superspace transformation (6), the variation of $\mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{L}_{WZ} &= -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i\left\{\partial_j x^\mu\partial_k x^\nu\bar{\epsilon}\left[\left(\frac{Re^r}{2}\right)^3\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma^\rho + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\right]\theta + 2\partial_j x^\mu\partial_k r\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu r}\theta\right.\\
&\qquad \left. +2\partial_j x^\mu\partial_k\varphi^a\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu a}\theta + 2\partial_j r\partial_k\varphi^a\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{ra}\theta + \partial_j\varphi^a\partial_k\varphi^b\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{ab}\theta\right\} + O(\theta^3),
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which means that the supermembrane action (4) is invariant under the super-coordinate transformation (6) up to total derivatives. In deriving eq. (8), we have used eqs. (1) and (5)-(7).

Now we use the explicit Killing spinors in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ to construct the supercharges, which possess the fermionic super isometry of the background [13]. In the Hamiltonian

formalism, the Noether supercharges can be defined as an integral over the test membrane at a fixed time [15]. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Q}_\alpha &= \int d^2\xi \Bigg\{ -\Bigg(\Big[1+\frac{1}{2}x^\nu \delta^{\hat{\nu}}_\nu \Gamma_{\hat{\nu}}\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\left(1+\Gamma\right)\Big]\Big(\prod_{\hat{a}=\hat{3}}^{\hat{8}} e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat{a}}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat{a},\hat{a}+\hat{1}}}\Big) \\
&\quad \times e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat{9}}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{9}}} e^{-\frac{r}{2}\Gamma}\left(\Gamma^\mu \Pi_\mu + \Gamma^r \Pi_r + \Gamma^a \Pi_a\right)\Bigg)_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta \\
&\quad + i\left(e^{-\frac{r}{2}\Gamma} e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat{9}}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{9}}}\Big(\prod_{\hat{a}=\hat{3}}^{\hat{8}} e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat{a}}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat{a},\hat{a}+\hat{1}}}\Big)\Big[1-\frac{1}{2}x^\nu\delta^{\hat{\nu}}_\nu\Gamma_{\hat{\nu}}\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\left(1-\Gamma\right)\Big]\Gamma_{\hat{0}}\right)^\beta{}_\alpha \Pi_\beta \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0ij}\Bigg(\Big[1+\frac{1}{2}x^\sigma\delta^{\hat{\sigma}}_\sigma\Gamma_{\hat{\sigma}}\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\left(1+\Gamma\right)\Big]\Big(\prod_{\hat{a}=\hat{3}}^{\hat{8}} e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat{a}}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat{a},\hat{a}+\hat{1}}}\Big) e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat{9}}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{9}}} e^{-\frac{r}{2}\Gamma} \\
&\quad \times\Bigg[\partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu\Big(\frac{R^3}{8}e^{3r}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma^\rho + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\Big) + 2\partial_i x^\mu \partial_j r \Gamma_{\mu r} \\
&\qquad + 2\partial_i x^\mu \partial_j \varphi^b \Gamma_{\mu b} + 2\partial_i r \partial_j \varphi^b \Gamma_{rb} + \partial_i\varphi^b\partial_j\varphi^c\Gamma_{bc}\Bigg]\Bigg)_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta\Bigg\} + O(\theta^3),
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\Pi_\mu, \Pi_\gamma, \Pi_a$ and Π_α are conjugate momenta of x^μ, r, φ^a and θ^α, respectively. In deriving eq. (9), we have included all the θ^2 order corrections for supervielbein and super 3-form gauge potential, which is different from the approximation in ref. [17] where some θ^2 order terms were ignored.

Up to this point, we have obtained the supercharges via supermembrane probe in $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times S^7$, which looks quite complicated. However, due to the special structure of the supercharges, we can simplify the expression in certain gauge. Since there is ordering ambiguity in the definition of supercharges (9) at the quantum level, we restrict the following discussions to the classical level. The generalization to the quantum level is left to future work.

The spacetime superalgebras of $\hat{Q}_\alpha$ can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{\hat{Q}_\alpha, \hat{Q}_\beta\right\}_{P.B.} &= -i\int d^2\xi\Bigg\{\Pi_\mu\Big(2e^{-r\Gamma}\Gamma^\mu\Gamma_{\hat{0}} - 2e^{-r}x^\nu\delta^{\hat{\nu}}_\nu\Gamma^\mu{}_{\hat{\nu}}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{0}} - 2e^{-r}x^\mu\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{0}} \\
&\quad + e^{-r}\Big[x^2\Gamma^\mu - 2x^\mu(x^\nu\delta^{\hat{\nu}}_\nu\Gamma_{\hat{\nu}})\Big]\left(1-\Gamma\right)\Gamma_{\hat{0}}\Big) + 4\Pi_r\Big[\Gamma_{\hat{r}} + x^\nu\delta^{\hat{\nu}}_\nu\Gamma_{\hat{\nu}}\left(1-\Gamma\right)\Big]\Gamma_{\hat{0}}R^{-1} \\
&\quad + 2\Pi_a\Big(\prod_{\hat{b}=\hat{3}}^{\hat{8}} e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat{b}}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat{b},\hat{b}+\hat{1}}}\Big) e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat{9}}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{9}}}\Gamma^a\Gamma_{\hat{0}} e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat{9}}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat{r}}\Gamma_{\hat{9}}}\Big(\prod_{\hat{c}=\hat{3}}^{\hat{8}} e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat{c}}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat{c},\hat{c}+\hat{1}}}\Big)\Bigg\}_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad + C^{(M2)}_{\alpha\beta} + O(\theta^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the central charge $C^{(M2)}_{\alpha\beta}$ originating from the total derivative terms in the variation of supermembrane action under the infinitesimal superspace diffeomorphism transformation (6) is given by

$$C^{(M2)} = B_{WZ} + B^T_{WZ}, \tag{11}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{WZ} &= \int \delta^2\xi\ \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{0ij}\left\{\left[1+\frac{1}{2}x^\rho\delta_\rho^{\hat\rho}\Gamma_{\hat\rho}\Gamma_{\hat r}\left(1+\Gamma\right)\right]\left(\prod_{\hat a=\hat 3}^{\hat 8}e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat a}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat a,\hat a+\hat 1}}\right)e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat 9}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat r}\Gamma_{\hat 9}}e^{-\frac{r}{2}\Gamma}\right.\\
&\quad\times\Big[\partial_i x^\mu\partial_j x^\nu\Big(\frac{R^3}{8}e^{3r}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma^\rho+\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\Big)\\
&\quad+2\partial_i x^\mu\partial_j r\Gamma_{\mu r}+2\partial_i x^\mu\partial_j\varphi^b\Gamma_{\mu b}+2\partial_i r\partial_j\varphi^b\Gamma_{rb}+\partial_i\varphi^b\partial_j\varphi^c\Gamma_{bc}\Big]\\
&\quad\left.\times e^{-\frac{r}{2}\Gamma}e^{\frac{\varphi_{\hat 9}}{2}\Gamma\Gamma_{\hat r}\Gamma_{\hat 9}}\left(\prod_{\hat a=\hat 3}^{\hat 8}e^{-\frac{\varphi_{\hat a}}{2}\Gamma_{\hat a,\hat a+\hat 1}}\right)\Big[1-\frac{1}{2}x^\sigma\delta_\sigma^{\hat\sigma}\Gamma_{\hat\sigma}\Gamma_{\hat r}\left(1-\Gamma\right)\Big]\Gamma_{\hat 0}\right\}. \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the general result we obtain. We will see that it can be extremely simplified in certain gauge.

If we decompose the 11-dimensional gamma matrices into the ones referring to the AdS_4 and S^7 spaces and denote them by γ^s and $\gamma'_{s'}$ respectively, eq. (10) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\hat Q_{\alpha\alpha'},\hat Q_{\beta\beta'}\right\}_{P.B.} &= -\left(\gamma_5 C\right)_{\alpha\beta}\left[2\left(\gamma'_{s'}C'\right)_{\alpha'\beta'}P^{s'}-h\left(\gamma'_{s't'}C'\right)_{\alpha'\beta'}M^{s't'}\right]\\
&\quad -C'_{\alpha'\beta'}\left[2\left(\gamma_s C\right)_{\alpha\beta}P^s+2h\left(\gamma_{st}\gamma_5 C\right)_{\alpha\beta}M^{st}\right]\\
&\quad +C^{(M2)}_{\alpha\beta,\alpha'\beta'}+O(\theta^2), \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_5=\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_r$ and the charge conjugation matrix $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposed as $\mathcal{C}=C\otimes C'$. The coefficient h is read off from $F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=6he\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ which in turn can be obtained from eq. (1). The P_s and M_{st} correspond to the bosonic generators in $SO(3,2)$ while $P_{s'}$ and $M_{s't'}$ to those in $SO(8)$ [3]. The Poisson bracket (13) of the supercharges $\hat Q_\alpha$, which are induced from the worldvolume of supermembrane probe in $\mathrm{AdS}_4\times S^7$, shows that the superalgebra $OSp(8|4)$ of the super isometries of the background is centrally extended. The complete central extension of the superalgebra $OSp(8|4)$ by explicit field construction in the worldvolume of the supermembrane will be discussed elsewhere.

Now let us see how the above superalgebras of supercharges $\hat Q_\alpha$ can be simplified in the static membrane configuration, from which we can read off the unbroken supersymmetries. It is defined by [12]

$$
x^\mu=\xi^\mu,\ \ r=\text{const.}\ ,\ \ \varphi^a=\text{const.}\ ,\ \ \theta^\alpha=0, \qquad (14)
$$

for which we have

$$
\Pi_\mu=\frac{\delta L^{(0)}}{\delta\dot x^\mu}+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0ij}\partial_i x^\nu\partial_j x^\rho C^{(3)}_{\mu\nu\rho}=0,\ \ \Pi_\gamma=\Pi_a=0, \qquad (15)
$$

where $L^{(0)}$ is the first term in eq. (4). With the help of eqs. (14) and (15), we can simplify eq. (13) to obtain

$$
\left\{\hat Q_\alpha,\hat Q_\beta\right\}_{P.B.}=i\int d^2\xi\ \frac{R^2}{2}\left[e^{(2-\Gamma)r}\left(1-\Gamma\right)\right]_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (16)
$$

from which we see that in the static membrane configuration (14), half of the supersymmetry is broken. In fact, if we choose diagonal representation for the matrix $\Gamma = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}_{16\times16}, -\mathbf{1}_{16\times16})$ and decompose $\hat{Q}_\alpha$ into $Q_\alpha = \hat{Q}_{+\alpha} \equiv \frac{1+\Gamma}{2}\hat{Q}_\alpha$, $S_\alpha = \hat{Q}_{-\alpha} \equiv \frac{1-\Gamma}{2}\hat{Q}_\alpha$, which correspond to Poincaré and conformal supersymmetry generators [12], we have

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}_{P.B.} = 0, \quad \{Q_\alpha, S_\alpha\}_{P.B.} = 0,$$
$$\{S_\alpha, S_\beta\}_{P.B.} = i\int d^2\xi \frac{R^2}{2} e^{3r}\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{17}$$

Eq. (17) shows that the superconformal symmetry generated by S_α is nonlinearly realized on the worldvolume fields, which means that if the supermembrane probe sits at any finite r, the manifest superconformal symmetry is broken when we gauge-fix the action and express it in terms of the physical transverse coordinate fields. However, the superconformal symmetry is recovered when the supermembrane sits on the boundary at $r \to -\infty$, which is consistent with the known results [3, 12, 13].

In the above discussion, we have only constructed the supercharges in the Wess-Zumino-type gauge to the lowest order in θ. It would be interesting to check whether it is possible to construct them in Killing spinor gauge [5, 7] to all orders in θ. It is also interesting to discuss the spacetime superalgebras via super 5-brane probes. One of the interesting examples is to consider the construction via D5-brane probe in $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, and to see how to realize the maximally extended D5-brane worldvolume supersymmetry algebra from the explicit superalgebras [22].

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank M.J. Duff for helpful comments. This work was supported in part by the grant-in-aid from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture No. 96208.

References

[1] M.J. Duff, preprint, hep-th/9808100.

[2] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200; S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109; E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.

[3] P. Claus, R. Kallosh, J. Kumar, P.K. Townsend and A. Van Proeyen, J. High Energy Phys. **06** (1998) 04, hep-th/9801206; R. Kallosh, J. Kumar and A. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 6452, hep-th/9712073.

[4] R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B533** (1998) 109, hep-th/9805028; preprint, hep-th/9806095.

[5] R. Kallosh J. Rahmfeld and and A. Rajaraman, J. High Energy Phys. **09** (1998) 002, hep-th/9805217.

[6] G. Dall'Agata, D. Fabbri, C. Fraser, P. Fré, P. Termonia and M. Trigiante, preprint, hep-th/9807115.

[7] R. Kallosh, preprint, hep-th/9807206.

[8] I. Pesando, preprints, hep-th/9808020; hep-th/9808146; R. Kallosh and J. Rahmfeld, preprint, hep-th/9808038; R. Kallosh and A.A. Tseytlin, preprint, hep-th/9808088.

[9] B. de Wit, K. Peeters, J. Plefka and A. Sevrin, preprint, hep-th/9808052.

[10] P. Claus, preprint, hep-th/9809045.

[11] I. Oda, preprint, hep-th/9809076; J. Rahmfeld and A. Rajaraman, preprint, hep-th/9809164; I. Pesando, preprint, hep-th/9809145.

[12] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, preprint, hep-th/9809213.

[13] P. Claus and R. Kallosh, preprint, hep-th/9812087.

[14] P. Claus, R. Kallosh and J. Rahmfeld, preprint, hep-th/9812114.

[15] J.A. de Azcarraga, J.P. Gauntlett, J.M. Izquierdo and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989) 2443.

[16] D. Sorokin and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B412** (1997) 265, hep-th/9708003.

[17] T. Sato, Phys. Lett. **B439** (1998) 12, hep-th/9804202; Phys. Lett. **B441** (1998) 105, hep-th/9805209; preprint, hep-th/9812014.

[18] H. Lü, C.N. Pope and J. Rahmfeld, preprint, hep-th/9805151.

[19] B. de Wit, K. Peeters and J. Plefka, Nucl. Phys. **B532** (1998) 99, hep-th/9803209.

[20] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B189** (1987) 75; Ann. Phys. **185** (1988) 330.

[21] E. Cremmer and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. **B91** (1980) 61.

[22] B. Craps, J. Gomis, D. Mateos and A. Van Proeyen, preprint, hep-th/9901060.